



### WISE Mission Operations System CDR

### WISE Science Data Center

Roc Cutri - IPAC WISE Science Data Manager Tim Conrow - IPAC WSDC Lead Engineer







### WISE Science Data System Architecture

Tim Conrow - IPAC WSDC Lead Engineer







- WSDS Subsystems
- Development Strategy
- Hardware Architecture
- WSDS Issues
- Road to CDR





## WSDS Subsystems: Overview











*Receive and verify high-rate science telemetry from White Sands. Decompress and assemble complete frames with ancillary data from MOS. Write level-0 image archive. Start scan pipeline.* 

- Receive manifest at start of transfer from White Sands
- Detect completed transfers from HRDP and verify against manifest
- Archive raw frame telemetry and ancillary data from MOS
- Decompress and assemble all images and update frame index
  - Images may come out of order from multiple deliveries, so a scan may need to be stitched together using an index of data in the image archive
- Correlate data to ancillary data and generate frame meta-data
  - WCS info, time, other (temperatures, voltages?, correlation to science plan?, ...)
  - Write to image headers and stand-alone meta-data file
- Write compressed FITS files with meta-data to level-0 frame archive
- Identify frames in complete scans (pole crossing to pole crossing) and start the scan pipeline
  - Locate frames for each complete scan in the delivery. For incomplete scans, process when retransmissions complete the scan or 72 hours (TBD) elapses
  - The quicklook pipeline is launched after each delivery without regard for missing frames



## WSDS Subsystems: Ingest Data Flow









# WSDS Subsystems: Pipelines



#### • Scan and Frame Pipelines

For a complete scan, calibrate frames, produce FITS level-1 frame images, archive extracted sources to frame Working Database, save meta-data and QA data.

- Start and monitor Frame Pipeline for designated frames in scan
- Calibrate frames
  - Flag and mask hot pixels, artifacts, saturation, cosmic ray hits, etc.
  - Offset correction from reference pixels (TBD)
  - Subtract darks, apply pixel response, linearity, and droop corrections
  - Sky offset correction from dynamic illumination profile
- Extract, characterize and band merge sources from level-0 frames
- Refine frame position/orientation/scale from comparison with 2MASS Point Source Catalog matched to high SNR extraction list
- Apply refinements to frame meta-data and source positions
- Apply photometric offsets to frame meta-data, source fluxes
  - Compute offsets from matched cal stars in most recent polar fields
- Write source list and meta-data to frame Working Database
- Search for solar system objects in level-1 working source DB
- Compute and write QA data





## WSDS Subsystems: Scan Pipeline Data Flow









# WSDS Subsytems: Pipelines



#### • Quicklook Pipeline

*Provides image quality (scan mirror synch) and other assessments of each delivery within 24 hours of receipt by examining ~5% of the data.* 

- Run on  $\sim 10$  of frames for each scan
- Launched after each delivery regardless of frame completeness
- Same as the Scan Pipeline with reduced output
  - No level-1 frames generated, so less exacting astrometry and photometry
  - No archive output except QA-specific results and archived meta-data
- Verify scan mirror synchronization from PSFs
- Compute QA metrics and compare to predefined standards
  - System throughput from photometric standards
  - Image noise, sensitivity
  - Monitor artifacts, detector behaviour
- QA output examined by mission planning at SOC (UCLA) and problems reported to MOS (JPL)
  - Remotely accessible HTML report and exported machine readable report





# WSDS Subsystems: Pipelines



#### • Coadd Pipeline

Combine frame data covering the coadd geometry, extract sources, identify artifacts, Update calibration. Output coadd images and sources to the coadd Working Database.

- Create coadds as often as once per delivery or as rarely as once per week
- Select pre-defined or manual coadd geometry (RA, Dec, size, epoch)
- Calibrate level-0 frames. resample to produce coadd pixels
- Construct intensity, flag and coverage images
  - Examine frame flag images and meta-data
    - Reject frames failed by QA
    - Apply flags (artifacts, saturation, etc.), flag (possibly mask) out-of-bed frame pixels (cosmic ray hits, reflections, other outliers)
  - Propagate modified flags to flag map
  - Record coverage (exposure? variance? throughput?) in supplementary image(s)
- Extract and characterize sources, band merge, re-derive astrometric solution
- Write coadds to coadd archive
- Write sources to coadd Working Database and meta-data to archive
- Write QA data





### WSDS Subsystems: Coadd Pipeline Data Flow









- Meeting our throughput requirements demands a high level of concurrent processing
- Our unit of concurrence will be processing level-0 frames
- Will need to process perhaps 100-200 frames at once
- Since CPUs are easier and cheaper to scale than network capacity and disk access, we will emphasize on-the-fly processing over large-scale storage of intermediate results
- Consequently, level-1 frame pixels will be mostly computed on demand, though they may be cached
  - Avoids having to replace them when the processing or calibration changes
  - Concurrency means we can compute a lot of level-1 frames in about the same time it takes to create one (we hope)





# WSDS Subsystems: Quality Assurance



#### • Frame and Multi-frame QA

Generate concise, web-based reports summarizing science data quality. QA analysts generate a QA score for each frame. Key results are archived.

- Draws from:
  - Multi-band scan pipeline output, including specialized QA results
  - IRSA searches: 2MASS, extractions from overlaps, etc.
  - Ancillary-data: Ephemeris (SAA or moon proximity), temp.s, etc.
- Manual examination of trend plots with data from every frame
- Specialized tools allow interactive QA analysis
- Evaluate, scan mirror synchronization, PSF trend, efficacy of artifact removal, flat performance, scan coverage, astronomical properties (logN/logS, colorcolor plots, astrometry evaluation, etc.)
- Multi-frame analysis adds N/M results (C&R, photometric repeatability), artifact trending, coadd image quality
- Write QA report and key results to QA archive
  - Compare QA metrics to predetermined thresholds, generate QA score
  - Generate human (HTML) and machine-readable reports
  - Final QA disposition approved by ST designee





### WSDS Subsystems: 2MASS QA Example









# WSDS Subsystems: Final Product Generator



Constructs WISE Preliminary and Final Image Atlas and Source Catalog from coadd Image Archive and coadd Working Databases

- Commences after final all-sky coadd generation and source extraction
- Manually executed and controlled process primarily involving DBMS queries
- Use selected final coadded images on pre-defined sky tiling
- Examine QA and produce release-quality images, rejecting inferior frame data
- Create value-added columns in working database
- Create level-2 products in final format
- Analyze and validate final products (internal & Science Team)
- Iterate as necessary
- Distribute through IRSA





## WSDS Subsystems: Executive Functions



Provide a uniform interface for execution and control of routinely-executed WSDC applications and utilities and interfaces aiding automation and resource management.

#### • Application wrappers

- Standard parameter interface
- Data dependency setup (moving, renaming, or massaging files, etc.)
- Textual error, warning and informatory output management
- Process status handling and error notification
- Internal sub-process initiation and monitoring

#### • Pipeline initiation and management

- Dependency-driven automatic start-up, e.g. ingest launches scan pipeline
- Manual parameter-controlled CLI start-up, e.g. some coadd generation, special runs
- Frame pipeline **concurrent** execution
- Execution monitoring
  - Web-accessible centralized process display
  - Controller notification of completion and failures
- Resource monitoring
  - Centralized monitoring of disk space, CPU and network load





## WSDS Subsystems: Archive



Supply permanently saved data to both internal (pipelines and QA) and external (Sci. Team, public) users.

- Multi-tiered approach to data archiving and access
- Raw mission telemetry archive (from INGEST)
  - Copies to tape. On- and off-site storage
  - Live disk access
- Level-0 frame data in distributed, multi-node filesystem
  - Optimized for parallel access
- Mission ancillary data from MOS
- Working databases, catalogs, meta-data, and QA results in DBMS integrated into IRSA infrastructure
  - Catalog products are implicitly delivered to NASA-designated archive
  - Development minimized
  - IRSA/WISE web-based tools are primary Project interface to processed data
  - Public Interfaces are a subset of Project interfaces





# Development Strategy: Code Maturation



Code evolves from early prototypes, which exercise end-to-end interfaces, to operational readiness through increasing functionality, adherence to documentation, coding and testing standards. A "Mayo-Smith's Pyramid" development approach is sought where the code is usable at all development stages but increases in functionality and rigor as it evolves.

### • New code starts at prototype level

- Establish interfaces, exercise critical code paths
- Some functionality may be dummied out or otherwise not meet requirements
- Code should be highly modular, but not necessarily fully up to coding standards

### • Leaves prototype stage at a subsequent major delivery

- Coding standards met
- Major interfaces moderately stable
- Interface documents complete
- Code may continue to evolve for some time after leaving prototype

### • Code operationally ready

- Interfaces are mature and stable
- Requirements are met in testing
- RTB written, unit and end-to-end integrated ("in-situ") testing are complete
- Can be re-opened for bug fixes, or modification if subsequent experience warrants







- Parallel development of WSDS subsystems
  - By end of FY08, several development tracks will be underway simultaneously
  - As existing code matures developers can pick up new tasks
  - New hires pick up new tasks; minimize code hand-offs
  - Limited simultaneous development by one developer gives schedule flexibility at a cost of small efficiency loss
- Feature set at each version matched to ...
  - Project activities, particularly instrument development and testing, and data production
  - Support for future development
  - Estimated development time and length of maturation period
  - Staffing profile





# Development Strategy: Schedule



• Version 0 : 10/2007 (CDR - 3 months)

Support data flow experiments, CDR preparation, survey planning

- Hollow end-to-end frame pipeline prototype
- Concurrency experiments
- Early experiments on source extraction (astrometry, photometry), pixel upsampling and coaddition
- Version 1 : 07/2008 (Instrument calibration 3 months, E-to-E test)

Support instrument characterization

- QA tools, data display
- Multi-origin (sim telemetry, raw images) data ingest, correlation with ancillary data
- Version 2 : 01/2009 (Mission scenario testing 1 month)

Support full telemetry I/O and WSDC product life cycle

- Frame pipeline mature
- Scan, coadd pipelines, QA, quicklook feature complete
- Executive functions feature complete





# Development Strategy: Schedule



• Version 3 : 08/2009 (Launch - 3 months)

Support ORT, IOC, Early operations

- All sub-systems mature except FPG
- Begin strict change control (CCB review)
- Used for parameter tuning prepatory to v3.5
- Version 3.5: 02/2010 (Launch + 3 months)

Support routine operations and preliminary data release

- Bug fixes and adjustments for in-orbit performance
- Version 4 : 10/2010 (End of on-orbit operations + 4 months)

Support data reprocessing and final product delivery

- Updated calibration
- Code updates to incorporate in-orbit performance
- QA improvements





# Development Strategy: Software Management



#### • Coding standards

- Language choice: C, C++, Fortran, Perl, IDL (not in pipelines!), shell
- Code commenting, transparency and modularity
- Error control and reporting, completion status

#### • **Revision control** (Subversion)

- Software, parameters, and documents
- Repository check-in/check-out structure
- Mandatory check-in and tag at delivery time

#### • Delivery control

- Directory based separation between ops, dev, integ, test, etc., deliveries
- Makefile template-based builds
- Change control
  - Initiation of change control at L-1 year (TBD)
  - Choose CCB members from WSDC and representatives from MOS and ST
  - Phased increase in code stability as launch approaches
- **Problem tracking (**Bugzilla?)
  - Developer or user initiated
  - Some issues elevated to project-level tracking





## Hardware Architecture



- Computation
  - ~20-node, x64-based Linux Beowolf cluster (~\$3.5k/node currently)
  - Driven by scan pipeline and QA run on a day's deliveries in 8 hours
    - For 30,000 frames/day (all bands) / 8 hours = throughput of  $\sim$ 1 frame/sec
    - Comparison with 2MASS processing implies each frame will take ~120 seconds at 50% CPU utilization with 100% pad
    - Implies ~120 cores required
    - Additional cores required for QA, coadding, ingest, misc. analysis, etc.
- Storage (7 month mission)
  - ~30 TB distributed with cluster nodes (~15 TB X2 for replication)
  - ~30 TB in NFS exported RAID-5
  - Driven by 7 month mission archive
    - Raw frames: ~10TB
    - Level-0 frames: ~30TB (compressed, on cluster nodes)
    - Coadds: ~5TB
    - Frame cache and work space:  $\sim$ 5 TB
    - Source working databases, catalogs, metadata, etc.: ~5TB
    - Work space: ~5TB







### Hardware Architecture



#### • Network

#### - 2 parallel gigabit networks minimum

- Driven by level-0 frame access
  - Assume  $\sim 10$  days-worth of level-0 pixels required in an 8 hour period
    - Hand-wavey: includes 1st runs, one rerun, coadding, QA, analysis
  - Implies ~200Mbit/s on average, but bursty
  - Trade off complexity and cost vs. capacity
  - Network usage is reduced if more frame processing is on local disk
- Backup
  - 3-4 SDLTs per day
  - Driven by frame backup
    - Raw telemetry: 25GB/day archived to 3 copies = 75 GB/day
    - Raw FITS frames: 50GB/day (uncompressed)
    - Level-0 frames: 130GB/day (uncompressed)
- Security
  - IPAC border security is excellent
  - Additional isolation for Ingest-1 servers







- Inter-frame processing interactions in frame pipeline. E.g. latent images. Are there others?
  - Interferes with concurrency
- How well does frame processing time scale with CPU count?
- Latent image handling when frames are missing
- FPA surprises?
- Which bands are required for processing?
- Level-0 frame archive for 1-year mission; how do we scale storage on the cluster?





- Version 0 delivery in fall
  - Exercising key interfaces and data flow issues
  - Timing and data load studies

### • Processing time (concurrency) experiments

- Must understand how frame processing times scale with concurrency
- Network load is key
- Experiment with version 0 delivery
- Detailed development milestones
  - Matched to development versions, but more detailed
- Updated versions of documents
  - FRD, FDD, SMP

