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As a followup, I also plotted something similar. Attached are the mag-binned ratios: <sigPM>/rms(pm) for the recently run tiles: 1174p075_nobim1 and 3012p545_ac51. The latter is the same tile Roc looked at (srt1 run). Aside from differences in bin-size and the robust metrics used, these plots are qualitatively similar to Roc's where the ratio is mag-dependent.

Regards, Frank
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Chi-square vs mag plots for stationary and pm-fits:
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