Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE)

WSDC Quality Assurance Plan

Version 1.3

20-April-2009

Prepared by: J. Davy Kirkpatrick



Infrared Processing and Analysis Center California Institute of Technology

WSDC D-M004

Approved By:

Roc Cutri, WISE Science Data Center Manager

Tim Conrow, WISE Science Data Center Lead Engineer

Davy Kirkpatrick, WISE Science Data Center Lead Quality Assurance Scientist

Revision History

Date	Version	Author	Description
01 Mar 2007	0.1	D. Kirkpatrick	Initial Draft
14 Dec 2007	1.0	D. Kirkpatrick	Preliminary Version
05 Mar 2008	1.1	D. Kirkpatrick	Revised Version
20 Aug 2008	1.2	D. Kirkpatrick	Added requirements for Anomaly Tracking.
20 Apr 2009	1.3	D. Kirkpatrick	Added Trending QA as a new subsystem. Updated Fig 1 and added Figs 2 and 3. Added section on the reporting of QA results.

Table of Contents

1		5
2	QA ACTIVITIES DURING NORMAL OPERATIONS	6
	1. OVERVIEW OF WSDC QUALITY ASSURANCE	6
	2. FUNCTIONAL OVERVIEW	9
3	QA ACTIVITIES DURING IN-ORBIT CHECKOUT	15
4	MAPPING OF QA CHECKS TO REQUIREMENTS	16
5	REPORTING OF QA RESULTS	25
6	ANOMALY RESPONSE PLAN	29
7	STAFFING PLAN	31
8	DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE	32
9	ACRONYM LIST	33

1 INTRODUCTION

The WISE mission will produce a large volume of imaging data over a very short time span. The processing pipelines at the Wise Science Data Center (WSDC) will create products from these data for eventual use by the scientific community. Because of the data volume and the short timescale on which data are to be made available to the general public, rapid processing is required. Quick and efficient data quality assurance is vital to success.

The objective of science data quality assurance is to assess data through each stage of processing, to identify and flag data that may not meet WISE science requirements, and to alert SOC, MOS, and WSDC of these cases. Data meeting the science requirements will be characterized so that after their public release they can be correctly interpreted by the scientific community.

This science data QA is not responsible for primary flight system health monitoring, which is the responsibility of MOS. Some of the WSDC quality assurance products may however supplement health monitoring of the primary instrument and spacecraft.

Some parameters that affect data quality will be tracked by the processing pipeline subcomponents, but others parameters can be assembled only after all subcomponents are run, particularly when overall comparisons to previous data sets are needed. In this vein, a comprehensive quality assurance (QA) system in which all of the data quality parameters are tracked and assembled is necessary. It is vital that this system be as automated as possible so that the final arbiter of quality (the human reviewing the data) can quickly assess and bless those data meeting the project's specifications, while spending most of his/her time on the small fraction of data most needing detailed scrutiny. The QA system will also provide an interface for this detailed follow-up so that the QA scientists can efficiently analyze and troubleshoot issues and feed this knowledge back into the automated system.

The QA system will collect summary reports for all of the data processing subsystems and compile them into a single concise report to be reviewed by

the QA scientist. These summaries consist of software completion status reports, statistical analyses, and other tabular and graphical material on which data quality can be judged. The QA system collects parameters, compares them to concise metrics, and presents the results in a web-based form.

This document serves as an overview of the components comprising the QA system. QA activities during normal operations are described in section 2, followed by in-orbit checkout QA in section 3. A V&V matrix mapping WSDC requirements into specific QA checks is given in section 4. A plan for reporting of QA results is given in section 5, and a plan for anomaly alert and resolution is discussed in section 6. The document concludes with a breakdown of QA operations staffing in section 7 and a development plan in section 8.

2 QA ACTIVITIES DURING NORMAL OPERATIONS

2.1 Overview of WSDC Quality Assurance

WISE data will pass through several different processing stages as described in the WSDS Functional Requirements Document (#WSDC D-R001), and quality assurance (QA) will be performed as an integral part of each of these. The flow diagram below demonstrates how the QA subsystems interact with the rest of the pipeline data flow, as implemented in the WSDS Functional Design Document (WSDC D-D001).

The data flow diagram of Figure 1 pertains to data processing during onorbit operations ("first-pass" processing). First-pass processing will produce a Preliminary Image Atlas and Preliminary Source Catalog for public release.

Knowledge gleaned from first-pass processing of the entire WISE data set will be used to refine the QA algorithms and thresholds used in "secondpass" processing. Second-pass processing will begin at the Level 0 Archive, skipping only the Quicklook Processing and Quicklook QA steps. The goal of second-pass processing is to produce the Final Image Atlas and Final Source Catalog for public release.



Figure 1: WSDS data flow diagram with QA subcomponents highlighted in yellow.

The purpose of each of the QA subsystems is listed below along with the timescale on which the QA is to be performed (during first-pass processing) and the actions resulting from each QA assessment:

A. Ingest QA

- a. Purpose:
 - i. To check compliance with the FITS standard.
 - ii. To verify that all Level 0 images have been created.
 - iii. To compare the input manifest from White Sands to actual data received.
- b. Timescale: Following each data transfer (up to 4 times per day).
- c. Action: WSDC to summarize daily status and anomalies to MOS/EOS and SOC.
- B. Quicklook QA
 - a. Purpose: To check key system performance parameters (on 3% of data) for each downlink via an abbreviated processing pipeline. This will include but not be limited to monitoring of scan synchronization, system throughput, and image backgrounds and noise.
 - b. Timescale: Within 24 hours of end of data transfer to WSDC.
 - c. Action:
 - i. WSDC to post QA report to web page; SOC to review report.
 - ii. WSDC to e-mail text summary to MOS.

C. Scan/Frame QA

- a. Purpose:
 - i. To check for successful completion of Scan/Frame processing pipeline.
 - ii. To scrutinize output of processing pipeline.
 - iii. To compare achieved performance to metrics tied to mission science requirements.
- b. Timescale: Within 6 days of receipt of data at IPAC.
- c. Action: WSDC to assign quality scores to each scan and produce QA report; PI or his designee responsible for signing off.

D. Trending QA

- a. Purpose:
 - i. To check the internal consistency of Scan/Frame pipeline results using scanto-scan overlaps.
 - ii. To monitor the overall results of Scan/Frame processing (e.g., photometric zero points, array noise characteristics) as a function of time.
 - iii. To alert WSDC of any potential consistency or drift problems that may complicate Multiframe processing or Final Product generation.
- b. Timescale: As needed.
- c. Action: WSDC to monitor trends throughout the course of the mission and respond to problems; QA report to be made available to PI.
- E. Multiframe QA
 - a. Purpose:

- i. To check for successful completion of Multiframe processing pipeline.
- ii. To scrutinize output of processing pipeline.
- iii. To compare achieved performance to metrics tied to mission science requirements.
- b. Timescale: Within 15 days for multi-orbit runs with >8 coverages.
- c. Action: For ultimate (not intermediate) coadds, WSDC to assign quality scores to each coadd and produce QA report; PI or his designee responsible for signing off.
- F. Archive QA
 - a. Purpose:
 - i. To validate accuracy of source/metadata database loadings.
 - ii. To verify integrity of database tables and images (using, e.g, checksums and RTB queries).
 - b. Timescale:
 - i. After each database load (roughly once per week).
 - ii. Run periodically on static tables.
 - c. Action: WSDC reports status of checks and responds to problems (in concert with IRSA, where applicable).

G. Final Products QA

- a. Purpose:
 - i. To assess properties of the Atlas Images and Source Catalogs relative to mission Level 1 and 1.5 science requirements.
 - ii. To check integrity of the products via range checking on all parameters.
 - iii. To give overall characterization of public data products.
- b. Timescale: After Final Product Generation but before public release.
- c. Action: WSDC and Science Team to provide analyses; final release approval given by PI.

2.2 Functional Overview

Each component of the QA subsystem will encompass a number of individual tests relevant to that point in the data processing pipeline. The specific QA tests to be run during each of these stages are detailed below:

A. Ingest QA

- a. Check that assembled images meet the FITS standard. [DEPRECATED: This QA check is now built into the Ingest pipeline itself.]
- b. Compare input manifest to resulting output to check for completeness.
- c. Verify that all Level 0 images were successfully created.

- d. Verify that housekeeping telemetry data and PEF were successfully mated with the correct images.
- e. Summarize QA findings for MOS and SOC.
- B. Quicklook QA
 - a. Scan synchronization and image quality
 - i. Generate matrix of composite star images detected on each frame in each band, where each element is the average star image formed by combining the images of all stars in the corresponding region of the frame.
 - ii. Measure image second moment ratios and position angles for each composite star image in the matrix.
 - iii. Generate table and plot showing the means of these values for all frames in a half-orbit.
 - Trigger warning messages when image elongation has exceeded a predetermined threshold related to the Level 1.5 specifications for image quality. Threshold values are to be determined prior to launch using simulated image data.
 - b. Photometric zero point and system throughput (needs ecliptic polar data for primary and secondary standard star checks.)
 - i. Tabulate the mean and RMS differences between a priori "true" and measured instrumental magnitudes for standard stars observed in the polar frames.
 - ii. Generate a table and plot showing the mean and RMS of these values for each orbit.
 - iii. Trigger a warning message if the zero point offset in any band falls outside a threshold range. The threshold range will be derived pre-launch and updated during IOC.
 - c. Image backgrounds and noise (needs ecliptic polar data to use as bellwethers of background level)
 - i. Compute the mean pixel values along with total and point-source-filtered noise values for each frame.
 - ii. Generate a table and plot of mean pixel values and noise levels for each frame in a half-orbit and for each quadrant (for Si:As arrays) or stripe (for HgCdTe arrays).
 - iii. Compare the measured mean pixel values and noise values in each frame to threshold values, band by band.
 - iv. Trigger a warning message if the mean pixel values and noise values exceed predefined thresholds. These thresholds will be determined prelaunch and updated during IOC.
 - d. Visual checks
 - i. Generate jpegs of a few frames in each band and check by eye. Purpose is to look for unexpected fixed pattern artifacts, odd noise signatures, and other oddities not predicted a priori.

- ii. Generate three-color jpegs of a few registered framesets (if possible) and check by eye.
- C. Scan/Frame QA
 - a. Summary of input data
 - i. Report log file and results of Ingest QA.
 - ii. Report QA results for quicklook processing.
 - b. Instrumental image calibration
 - i. If new flat fields computed, compare flat-fields to ground flats.
 - ii. Monitor dynamic bad-pixel masks changes in masks, # of pixels masked.
 - iii. Flag outlying noisy frames; plot noise histograms.
 - iv. Flag outlying point-source-filtered noisy frames; plot histograms.
 - c. Scan synchronization
 - i. Monitor point source shape, noise pixels, scan mirror synchronization.
 - d. Bandmerging
 - i. Monitor percentage of sources seen in all bands vs. single-band missing sources, two-band missing, etc.
 - e. Astrometric calibration
 - i. Plot histograms of astrometric deltas between WISE-computed and 2MASS All-Sky PSC positions; scrutinize outliers.
 - ii. Modulo solar system object identifications, tabulate and follow up
 - 1. Sources (at least in W1 and W2) with no 2MASS match
 - 2. 2MASS sources lacking a WISE counterpart.
 - iii. Tabulate/plot mean/RMS differences between stars in this orbit and those observed in previous overlapping orbits (trending via other Level 1 data).
 - iv. Tabulate/plot mean astrometric offsets from in-scan overlaps.
 - f. Photometric calibration
 - i. Monitor mean aperture photometry curves-of-growth.
 - ii. Tabulate/plot mean/RMS differences between truth and derived photometry for standard stars in the orbit.
 - iii. Tabulate/plot mean/RMS differences between stars in this orbit and those observed in previous overlapping orbits (trending via other Level 1 data).
 - iv. Tabulate/plot mean photometric offsets from in-scan overlaps.
 - v. Plot number of objects with noted source confusion as function of galactic latitude; spot check image data for selected clean and confused sources.
 - vi. Plot saturated star mag/flux estimates against ramp saturation flag.
 - vii. Compare color-color diagrams for objects saturated in any band and compare against fiducial color loci to check saturated mag estimates.
 - g. Artifact identification Tabulate the number of artifacts (ArtID's letter type) per band. Also perform semi-automated visual spot checks of a few examples of each of the following:
 - i. Latents.

- ii. Dichroic/filter glints.
- iii. Diffraction spikes.
- iv. Bright star halo contamination.
- v. Optical ghosts.
- vi. Electronic ghosts.
- vii. Transients (radiation hits, etc.).
- viii. Confusion.
- ix. Others (?).
- h. Frame statistics
 - i. Plot log(N)-log(S) and check against mean frame noise level.
 - ii. Measure frame-to-frame overlap to assure overlap is sufficient.
- i. Solar system object identification
 - i. Plot number of solar system objects vs. ecliptic latitude.
 - ii. Perform checks to make sure that identifications include asteroids, comets, planets, and planetary satellites. (NOTE: SSOID does not include object type as an output. How do we perform this check?)
 - iii. Inspect color-color plots of identified objects.
 - iv. Check detection fraction vs. visual magnitude?
 - v. Plot ecliptic latitude distribution for identified minor planets as a function of predicted distance above the ecliptic plane and of predicted distance from the Earth.
- j. Completeness and reliability
 - i. Determine fraction vs. magnitude of detected "truth" sources in ecliptic polar fields.
- k. Astrophysical checks
 - i. Plot WISE-only color-color and color-mag diagrams of "clean" sources.
 - ii. Plot WISE+2MASS color-color diagrams of "clean" sources.
- 1. Star tracker checks
 - i. Produce frame-by-frame image quality metric for W1.
 - ii. Compare aperture vs. PSF photometry frame by frame as another check of image stability.
- m. QA summary
 - i. Report successful/unsuccessful processing completion.
 - ii. Provide web-accessible page with tables and plots listed above.
 - iii. Generate auto-filled QA report along with quality scores as starting point for human review.
 - iv. Review by QA scientists to finalize report.

D. Trending QA

- a. Astrometric check
 - i. Plot/tabulate astrometric repeatability from scan-to-scan overlaps.
- b. Photometric check
 - i. Plot/tabulate photometric repeatability from scan-to-scan overlaps.

- c. Curve-of-growth check
 - i. Monitor per-band aperture corrections as a function of array position (PSF grid).
- d. QA summary
 - i. Provide web-accessible page with tables and plots listed above.
 - ii. Review by QA scientists to look for potential problems.
 - iii. Report problems back to WSDC engineers, MOS, or SOC as appropriate.
- E. Multiframe QA (same as Scan/Frame QA with the following additions/deletions)
 - a. Summary of input data
 - i. Summarize QA grades for each scan considered for image stacking.
 - b. Source characterization
 - i. Perform semi-automated visual checks of registered coadds.
 - c. Astrometric calibration
 - i. Plot deltas with respect to 2MASS and individual scan astrometry; scrutinize sources with large deltas.
 - ii. Plot astrometric error per axis as function of source SNR
 - d. Photometric calibration
 - i. Tabulate/plot zero-point differences for scan-to-scan overlaps.
 - ii. Plot Level-1 photometry vs. deep coadd photometry to check for photometric self-consistency and depth of extractions.
 - e. Frame statistics
 - i. Plot log(N)-log(S) and check against mean frame noise level.
 - ii. Measure scan-to-scan overlap to assure overlap is sufficient.
 - f. Artifact identification
 - i. Check additional artifact flagging from extra-scan info.
 - g. Solar system objects identification (none needed)
- F. Archive QA
 - a. Perform checksums on the following:
 - i. Working databases.
 - ii. Source catalogs.
 - iii. Image archives.
 - iv. Image metadata.
 - v. Any ancillary archives such as QA score archive or calibration archive.
 - b. Perform range checking of the same databases, catalogs, and archives.
 - c. QA summary
 - i. Report status of each check.
 - ii. Provide web-accessible page with summarized results.

G. Final Products QA

- a. Source Catalog
 - i. Plot histogram of N-out-of-M (N/M) statistics for multiple epochs for internal checks of completeness and reliability; scrutinize cases with low N/M values.
 - ii. Check photometric variability statistics; scrutinize outliers.
 - iii. Check astrometric variability statistics (moving objects); scrutinize outliers.
 - iv. Perform cross-correlations with other catalogs; scrutinize sources in each catalog that have no association in the other.
 - v. Perform range checking on all columns.
 - vi. Using deeper Spitzer Space Telescope data at similar wavelengths (IRACch1, IRAC-ch2, IRAC-ch4/IRS-blue-PU, and IRS-red-PU/MIPS-24um) and multi-repeat WISE scans at the ecliptic poles, determine completeness of catalog as a function of SNR and check with respect to science requirements.
 - vii. Using the same data sets as above, determine reliability of catalog as a function of SNR and check with respect to science requirements.
 - viii. Plot log(N)-log(S) against mean scan noise level or SNR.
 - ix. Plot saturated star mag/flux estimates against ramp saturation flag.
 - x. Compare color-color diagrams for objects saturated in any band and compare against fiducial color loci to check saturated mag estimates.
 - xi. Plot astrometric error per axis as function of source SNR.
- b. Image Atlas (data images, depth-of-coverage mags, noise maps)
 - i. Confirm FITS standard.
 - ii. Confirm that photometric zero points are correct.
 - iii. Overlay images on outside image source data (2MASS All-Sky Atlas Images) to check astrometry.
 - iv. Perform range checking on header values; check that pixel grid is the same for all images and all wavelengths.
 - v. Determine areal coverage for 8+-deep coverage areas and check against science requirement. Build up survey coverage statistics.
 - vi. Using header info, determine epoch difference between first and last observation and check against science requirement.
 - vii. Determine average noise pixels and worst noise pixels per band for each Atlas Image.
- c. Summarize reports of QA analyses for Explanatory Supplement.

3 QA ACTIVITIES DURING IN-ORBIT CHECKOUT

Although some of the data acquired during IOC will be non-standard with respect to normal operational data, QA tasks will be needed on resultant data products as the integrity of both the data and the pipelines is tested. These will largely be manual activities using custom software and analysis tools. The checks below are broken into both cover-on and cover-off activities and are guided by the document entitled "In-Orbit Check-out Plan" (JPL-D-38048) dated 17 Jul 2007:

- 3.1 Cover-on: Before the cover is released, the temperature of the inner shield of the aperture cover will be high enough that data in W3 and W4 should be saturated. Nonetheless, there are tests that can be made for W1 and W2 data, and generic checks on the ability to ingest data can also be performed. The ingest pipeline and its associated QA system can be tested on these data. Parts of the QA system for the single-orbit pipeline can also be exercised. These are detailed below:
 - a. Test Ingest QA pipeline.
 - b. Test those portions of the Scan/Frame QA Pipeline that monitor darks, hot pixel masks, and frame noise (for W1 and W2 only).
 - c. Test saturation pixel flagging (for W3 and W4 only).
 - d. Check noise characteristics in W1 and W2 for orbits with SAA passages to determine noise thresholds to use during routine QA.
- 3.2 Cover-off: After the cover is ejected, a series of planned tests will evaluate the performance of the instrument to actual astronomical sources. These data will allow (a) threshold checking needed for nominal QA operations, (b) collection of inputs needed for pipeline tuning, and (c) thorough testing of the scan synchronization monitor:
 - a. Scan Synchronization monitor
 - i. Test fully.
 - ii. Derive warning thresholds for QA.
 - b. Detector calibration
 - i. Derive fiducial on-orbit flats. Derive and monitor "low-frequency" flat/responsivity.
 - ii. Derive fiducial on-orbit masks (low-response pixels + hot pixels).
 - c. Photometric calibration initialization
 - i. Monitor orbit-to-orbit pole passages to check zero-point stability of standard stars.
 - ii. Monitor photometric stability using orbit-to-orbit overlaps.
 - iii. Verify that each standard is still appropriate for use.

- iv. Derive linearity correction and check/refine against ground calibration.
- v. Check/refine saturation limits and on-board thresholds.
- d. Source detection initialization
 - i. Determine optimal SNR thresholds for detections to meet completeness requirements.
 - ii. Set deblending parameters.
- e. Bright source artifact mapping
 - i. Set thresholds for flagging latents, diffraction spikes, dichroic glints, electronic ghosts, and optical ghosts.
- f. Annealling characterization
 - i. Check image statistics before and after anneals.
 - ii. Check behavior of latents before and after anneals.
- g. Avoidance limits
 - i. Determine practical background limit for processing data near the Moon.
 - ii. Determine SAA charge rate limits for W3 and W4.

4 MAPPING OF QA CHECKS TO REQUIREMENTS

The following tables list the requirements that will be checked by WSDC Quality Assurance. Specifically, Table 1 lists requirements that will be checked at intermediate stages of the QA process. Tables 2 and 3 are reserved for those requirements that pertain directly to the ultimate deliverables, the Source Catalog and Image Atlas. These requirements are listed with their IDs (from the next highest Level document) as extracted from the following sources:

- WISE Science Requirements Document, JPL D-30563, dated 02-Mar-2006 (Level 1.5).
- WISE Facility Requirements Document, JPL D-30564, dated 02-Mar-2006 (Level 2).
- WISE Mission Operations System Requirements Document, JPL D-30571, dated 15-Jul-2005 (Level 3).
- WISE Science Data Center Functional Requirements Document, WSDC D-R001, dated 25-Nov-2007 (Level 4).

The QA checks pertaining to each requirement are noted by their designations in section 2.2 of the current document.

ID	REQUIREMENT	QA CHECK(S)
L4WSDC-	Quicklook QA Data Sampling: A sample of 3% of the science	В
065	imaging data returned to the ground each day	
	processed in an expedited way to produce a Quicklook	
	report that monitors the routine performance of the	
	data and identifies problems that may require prompt	
	action by WISE Science or Mission Operations	
L4WSDC-	Timescale: Within 24 hours after receipt, the WSDC	В
032	shall ingest at least 3% of the science data from each	
	downlink, and process it through a quick turn-around	
	version of the WISE pipeline. It shall produce	
	processing reports and quality summaries to a WISE	
	WISE ETD site at the same time, from which the other	
	MOS partners can fetch the data for evaluation	
L4WSDC-	Validation: The WSDC shall ingest and validate the	Α.
035	Level 0 science data for readability and completeness	F (on Level 1 archive)
	of content.	
L4WSDC-	Scan Synchronization: The WSDC shall provide a	B.a
066	monitor of the synchronization between the flight-	
	system and scan mirror rates to achieve and maintain	
	lequired image quanty as part of Quicklook QA.	
	Scan/Frame OA	
L4WSDC-	Data Sampling: The WSDC shall perform quality	С
062	analysis of all WISE science data and make reports	
	available on a regular basis.	
L3MOS-	In-scan Frame Overlap: During routine survey	C.h.ii
345	operations the MOS shall ensure that the Frame-to-	
	greater than 5%	
L4WSDC-	Solar System IDs: The WSDC shall identify and	C.i
027	compile a listing of known solar system objects that are	
	positionally associated with source extractions in the	
	WISE single-epoch image frames.	
L4WSDC-	Scope of Solar System IDs: The solar system objects	C.i.ii
028	associated with WISE single-epoch extractions shall	

Table 1: Requirements Checked During General Quality Assurance

ID	REQUIREMENT	QA CHECK(S)
	include asteroids, comets, planets, and planetary	
	satellites.	
L4WSDC-	Source Detection Threshold: The WSDS Pipeline	C.h.i
043	processing shall detect sources down to a threshold of	
	at least five time the image noise for the calibrated	
	image frames, and the combined Atlas Images.	
L4WSDC-	Artifact Flagging: The WSDC shall identify spurious	C.g
048	extractions of image artifacts and transient events in	
	the source lists for the purpose of eliminating them	
	from the WISE Source Catalog.	
L4WSDC-	Data with Missing Bands: The WSDS subsystems	C.d.i
049	shall be robust to data missing from one or more bands.	
	Coadd QA	
L3MOS-	Cross-scan Frame Overlap: During routine surve	E.e.n
347	operations the MOS shall ensure that the Frame-to-	
	Frame overlap of image frames in cross-scan direction	
LAWEDC	15 greater than 85%.	
L4WSDC-	Source Detection Inresnoid: The wSDS Pipeline	E.e.I
045 (also	processing shall detect sources down to a threshold of at least five time the image poice for the calibrated	
given abovo)	at least five time the image horse for the carbinated	
abovej	mage names, and the comomed Atlas mages.	
LAWODC	Final Products QA	~
L4WSDC-	Requirements Check: The WSDC shall work with the	G
063	WISE Science Learn to validate that the Image Atlas	
	and Source Catalog satisfy WISE science requirements	
LAWEDC	prior to their release.	<u>C</u>
L4WSDC-	Explanatory Supplement: The WSDC shall work in	G.C
004	collaboration with the wise Science Leam to	
	characterize and document the overall data product	
	quality relative to the mission requirements. This documentation shall be included in the WISE data	
	accumentation shall be included in the wiSE data	
	product explanatory supplement.	

Table 2: Requirements on the (Final/Preliminary/Both?) Source Catalog

ID	REQUIREMENT	QA CHECK(S)
	Completeness & Reliability	
L4WSDC-	Level of Completeness: The (final/preliminary) WISE	C.h.i,
009 and	Source Catalog shall be at least 95% complete for	E.e.i,
011	sources detected with SNR>20 in at least one band,	G.a.vi

	where the noise includes flux errors due to zodiacal	
	foreground emission, instrumental effects, source	
	photon statistics, and neighboring sources. This	
	requirement shall not apply to sources that are	
	superimposed on an identified artifact.	
L4WSDC-	Level of Reliability: The (final) WISE Source Catalog	C.g,
080	shall have greater than 99.9% reliability for sources	E.f,
	detected in at least one band with SNR>20, where the	G.a.vii
	noise includes flux errors due to zodiacal foreground	
	emission, instrumental effects, source photon statistics,	
	and neighboring sources. This requirement shall not	
	apply to sources that are superimposed on an identified	
I ANGE C	artifact.	a
L4WSDC-	Characterization: The final WISE Source Catalog	G.a.vi-vii
010	shall include sources down to SINR=5 in any band, and	
	Catalog shall be abaractorized at all flux levels	
LAWSDC	Artifact Flagging: The WISE Source Catalog shall	Ся
	contain one or more quality flags for each object entry	C.g, Ff
020	that indicate if the detection of that object may be a	
	spurious detection of an image artifact or transient	
	event	
	Photometricity	
L4WSDC-	Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source	C.d.i,
L4WSDC- 015	Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or	C.d.i, E,
L4WSDC- 015	Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects	C.d.i, E, G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015	Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.	C.d.i, E, G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC-	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE SourceCatalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes orflux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objectsdetected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE SourceCatalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes orflux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objectsdetected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shallcontain uncertainties in the flux measurements (onesigma) in all bands for which a source is detected.	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC-	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE SourceCatalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes orflux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objectsdetected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shallcontain uncertainties in the flux measurements (onesigma) in all bands for which a source is detected.Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected.Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v,
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE SourceCatalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes orflux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objectsdetected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shallcontain uncertainties in the flux measurements (onesigma) in all bands for which a source is detected.Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shallcontain one or more quality flags for each object entrythat indicate if a flux measurement may have been	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E,
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected.Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or each base parties.	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019	 Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images. Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected. Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or another nearby source. 	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019 L4WSDC- 012	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected.Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or another nearby source.SNR=5 Limits: Flux measurements in the WISE Source Catalog shall have a SNR of five or more for	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v E.e.i, C.a.v
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019 L4WSDC- 012	 Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images. Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected. Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or another nearby source. SNR=5 Limits: Flux measurements in the WISE Source Catalog shall have a SNR of five or more for point sources with fluxes per band as ligted below. 	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v E.e.i, G.a.viii
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019 L4WSDC- 012	 Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images. Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected. Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or another nearby source. SNR=5 Limits: Flux measurements in the WISE Source Catalog shall have a SNR of five or more for point sources with fluxes per band as listed below, assuming 8 independent exposures and where the poise 	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v E.e.i, G.a.viii
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019 L4WSDC- 012	 Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images. Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected. Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or another nearby source. SNR=5 Limits: Flux measurements in the WISE Source Catalog shall have a SNR of five or more for point sources with fluxes per band as listed below, assuming 8 independent exposures and where the noise is limited to flux errors due to zodiacal foreground 	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v E.e.i, G.a.viii
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019 L4WSDC- 012	 Photometricity Flux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images. Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected. Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or another nearby source. SNR=5 Limits: Flux measurements in the WISE Source Catalog shall have a SNR of five or more for point sources with fluxes per band as listed below, assuming 8 independent exposures and where the noise is limited to flux errors due to zodiacal foreground emission_instrumental effects_source photon statistics 	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v E.e.i, G.a.viii
L4WSDC- 015 L4WSDC- 016 L4WSDC- 019 L4WSDC- 012	PhotometricityFlux Measures and Upper Limits: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain the measured in-band fluxes or flux upper-limits in the four WISE bands for objects detected in at least one band in the WISE Atlas Images.Flux Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain uncertainties in the flux measurements (one sigma) in all bands for which a source is detected.Flux Quality Flag: The WISE Source Catalog shall contain one or more quality flags for each object entry that indicate if a flux measurement may have been contaminated due to the proximity of the source to an image artifact or another nearby source.SNR=5 Limits: Flux measurements in the WISE Source Catalog shall have a SNR of five or more for point sources with fluxes per band as listed below, assuming 8 independent exposures and where the noise is limited to flux errors due to zodiacal foreground emission, instrumental effects, source photon statistics, and neighboring sources:	C.d.i, E, G.a.v G.a.v C.g, C.f.v, E, G.a.v E.e.i, G.a.viii

	0.12 mJy at Band 1	
	0.16 mJy at Band 2	
	0.65 mJy at Band 3	
	2.6 mJy at Band 4	
LAWSDC-	RMS Frror : The root mean square error in relative	Fei
013	photometric accuracy in the WISE Source Catalog	
015	shall be better than 70/ in each hand for unsaturated	G.a.vin
	shan be better than 7% in each band for unsaturated	
	point sources with SINK>100, where the hoise flux	
	errors due to zodiacal foreground emission,	
	instrumental effects, source photon statistics, and	
	neighboring sources. This requirement shall not apply	
	to sources that superimposed on an identified artifact.	
L4WSDC-	Photometry in Saturated Bands: The WISE Source	C.f.vi-vii,
085	Catalog shall as a goal contain flux estimates for	Е,
	sources in any band in which the object has saturated	G.a.ix-x
	the WISE image data.	
L1.5SRD-	Saturation Limit: The relative photometric accuracy	E.e.i,
45 & 58	requirement shall be achieved for inertial point sources	G.a.viii
	no less bright than:	
	0.11 Jy for Band 1	
	0.06 Jy for Band 2	
	0.25 Jy for Band 3	
	0.3 Jy for Band 4	
L4WSDC-	Near-Moon Performance: The WISE science data	(unsure how to check
038	processing shall be designed to meet image and catalog	this on coadded data
	quality requirements for data taken as close as 15 deg	that correspond to
	to the moon assuming adequate stray light	different moon
	performance of the flight system and assuming that all	distances)
	other elements of the WISE system satisfy their	uistuiices)
	performance requirements	
	Astrometry	
L4WSDC-	Positional Measures: The WISE Source Catalog shall	G.a.v
017	contain equatorial (J2000) coordinates for objects	
017	detected in at least one band	
LAWSDC-	Positional Uncertainties: The WISE Source Catalog	Gav
	shall contain uncertainties in the coordinates	U.a.v
010	manufacture for each object	
LAWEDC	DMS Ennon : The root mean square (1 g) error in	Faii
L4WSDC-	KIVIS EFFOR: The root mean square (10) error in	
014	WISE catalog positions with respect to 2MASS All-	G.a.XI
	Sky Point Source Catalog positions shall be less than	
	$0.5^{"}$ on each axis, for sources with SNR > 20 in at least	
1	one WISE hand	

Table 3: Requirements on the Image Atlas

ID	REQUIREMENT	QA CHECK(S)
	Image Atlas Specifications	
L2FRD-	Sky Coverage: The WISE survey shall be designed to	G.b.v
339	provide at least 8 repeat observations over 99.25% of	
	the sky, exclusive of unplanned safing or anomalous	
	events.	
L4WSDC-	Coverage Maps: The WSDC shall generate and	G.b
026	archive coverage maps that show the number of	
	independent observations that go into each pixel of the	
	Image Atlas images in each band. The coverage	
	numbers shall take into account focal plane coverage	
	and losses due to poor quality data, low responsivity	
	and/or high noise masked pixels, and pixels lost	
	because of cosmic rays and other transient events.	
L3MOS-	Time Sampling: The MOS shall generate survey plans	G.b.vi
351	that ensure that the time interval between the first and	
	last exposures at each position on the sky be at least 30	
	minutes.	
L4WSDC-	Common Pixel Grid: The images in the final WISE	G.b.iv
021	Image Atlas shall be re-sampled to a common pixel	
	grid at all wavelengths.	
L4WSDC-	Photometric Calibration: The photometric calibration	G.b.ii
022	of the final WISE Image Atlas shall be tied to the	
	photometric calibration of the final WISE Source	
	Catalog.	
L4WSDC-	FITS Standard: The WSDC shall make all WISE	G.b.i
023	image data available in accordance to the Flexible	
	Image Transport (FITS) astronomical data standard	
L2FRD-	Image Quality: The average WISE flight system	C.c.i,
115	image quality, across the FOV excluding the corners	G.b.vii
	shall be no greater than the numbers specified below	
	(in noise pixels), assuming a 2.75 arcsecond pixel:	
	14.5 at Band 1	
	18.2 at Band 2	
	48.4 at Band 3	
	136.0 at Band 4.	
	The worst case image quality across the optical FOV	

excluding corners shall not exceed the average image quality requirement by more than 20%.	
Exclusion of corners is defined as regions more than $0.915*$ sqrt[(L/2)^2+((W/2)^2] from the center of the array, where L and W are the dimensions of the array (i.e., 1016 active pixels) projected on the sky. For L = W = 46 arcmin, this would allow the minimum image quality requirement to be exceeded about 1.5 arcmin in from the corners.	

The following tables illustrate how QA analyses during Scan/Frame QA (Table 4), Multiframe QA (Table 5), and Final Product Generation QA (Tables 6-7) map into science requirements imposed on the final source catalog and image atlas. As these tables show, every requirement has one or more associated QA checks.

		Source Catalog															5					
Requirement → QA check↓	Completeness	Reliability	Characterization	Artifact Flagging	Flux Meas/Upper Limits	Flux Uncertainties	Flux Quality Flag	Phot. SNR=5 Limits	Phot. RMS Error	Saturated Photometry	Saturation Limit	Near-Moon Performance	Astrometric Measures	Astrometric Uncertainties	Astrom. RMS Error	Sky Coverage	Coverage Maps	Time Sampling	Common Pixel Grid	Photometric Calibration	FITS Standard	Image Quality
SCAN/ FRAME QA												X										
Band detection statistics					X																	
Source confusion vs. galactic latitude							X															

Saturation vs. ramp flag							Х						
Color-color plots							Х						
Artifact ID checks		Х	Х		Х								
Log(N)- log(S) plots	X												

Table 5: V&V Matrix for Multiframe QA

						So	ourc	e Ca	atalo)g								Imag	ge A	tlas		
Requirement → QA check↓	ompleteness	eliability	haracterization	rtifact Flagging	lux Meas/Upper Limits	lux Uncertainties	lux Quality Flag	hot. SNR=5 Limits	hot. RMS Error	aturated Photometry	aturation Limit	lear-Moon Performance	strometric Measures	strometric Uncertainties	strom. RMS Error	ky Coverage	overage Maps	ime Sampling	ommon Pixel Grid	hotometric Calibration	ITS Standard	nage Quality
MULTI-	0	R	С	A	F	F	F	Ρ	Р	S	S	N	A	A	A	S	С	Τ	С	Р	н	I
FRAME QA																						
Scan					Х																	
detection																						
Statistics															v							
error vs. SNR															Λ							
Source							Х															
confusion vs.																						
galactic																						
Saturation vs.										Х												
ramp flag																						
Color-color										Х												
Artifact ID		X		x			x															
checks		11		11			11															

Log(N)-	Х				Х	Х	Х						
log(S) plots													

Table 6: V&V Matrix for Final Products QA (Source Catalog)

						Se	ourc	e Ca	atalo	og								Imag	ge A	tlas		
Requirement →	cness	y	rization	Jagging	as/Upper Limits	ertainties	llity Flag	R=5 Limits	IS Error	l Photometry	n Limit	on Performance	ric Measures	ric Uncertainties	RMS Error	srage	e Maps	npling	Pixel Grid	tric Calibration	ndard	uality
QA check↓	Complete	Reliabili	Characte	Artifact I	Flux Mea	Flux Unc	Flux Qua	Phot. SN	Phot. RN	Saturated	Saturatio	Near-Mo	Astromet	Astromet	Astrom.	Sky Cove	Coverage	Time Sar	Common	Photome	FITS Sta	Image O
FINAL PRODUCTS QA – Source Catalog																						
Range checking of columns					X	X	X						Х	X								
Completeness via truth fields	X		Х																			
Reliability via truth fields		X	Х																			
Log(N)- log(S) plots								Х	Х		Х											
Saturation vs ramp flag										Х												
Color-color plots										Х												
Astrometric error vs. SNR															Х							

						So	ourc	e Ca	atalo	g]	lmag	ge A	tlas		
Requirement →	eness	y	rization	lagging	as/Upper Limits	ertainties	lity Flag	R=5 Limits	IS Error	Photometry	n Limit	on Performance	ric Measures	ric Uncertainties	RMS Error	erage	e Maps	npling	Pixel Grid	tric Calibration	ndard	lality
QA check↓	Complete	Reliabilit	Characte	Artifact I	Flux Mea	Flux Unc	Flux Qua	Phot. SN	Phot. RN	Saturated	Saturatio	Near-Mo	Astromet	Astromet	Astrom.	Sky Cove	Coverage	Time Sar	Common	Photome	FITS Sta	Image Q
FINAL PRODUCTS QA – Image Atlas																	Х					
Confirm FITS standard																					Х	
Photometric zero point check																				Х		
Range checking of headers; pixel grid check																			Х			
Build survey coverage stats																Х						
Compute epoch difference via headers																		Х				

Table 7: V&V Matrix for Final Products QA (Image Atlas)

5 REPORTING OF QA RESULTS

Each QA subsystem produces a QA report that is auto-generated by the software. The QA scientist checks this report for accuracy and scrutinizes any problems found. Accuracy is gauged via standard checks performed by the QA scientists, and potential problems are analyzed using a combination of standard QA and offline tools. The QA report is modified and augmented to incorporate the results of these checks and analyzes. In some cases, such as for Scan/Frame QA and for final coadds in Multiframe QA, grades are assigned. For these, sign-offs are required by other portions of the WISE project. Serious anomalies are also reported and tracked separately, as discussed in section 6. A QA report from final 2MASS 1x processing is shown for illustration in Figure 2.

001027n [v3]
http://2massqa.ipac.caltech.edu:9000/data/2mass/lgos_v3/qa001027n/
Processing notes:
Scans failing processing: None.
Minor planet ID's: No low-numbered asteroids predicted.
Photometricity:
Calibration strategy: New
CALMON overrides: None.
CALMON passes 3-cal interval with decent solutions. The second set at as is oddly about -0.03 mag higher than the first. There is the required downgrade to phot factor 0.3.
Overlaps plots: Scatters are small and a bias of ~-0.01 mag exists at H.
In summary,
Scans Phot. quality factor
Backgrounds/Coadds:
Background plots: These look fine.
Meteor blanking: These all look fine.
Jump Counters: All OX
Airglow/Coadd Noise: All OK
Marginal Airglow/Coadd Noise: All OK
Seeing Diagnostics:
Extensive Untracked Seeing: All OK
Marginal Seetracker Scores: All OK
Bad 2nd image moment ratios: All OK
Astrometry Diagnostics:
Astrometric wander [blue]: Wanders are small and of short duration.
Global astrometry [blue]: These look great.
Scan tracking warnings: NONE
Science Diagnostics:
Photometric diagnostics [yellow]: Mean chi-squared values and photometric linearity are both fine. All other plots, though a tad data starved, are
also fine. Galaxy diagnostics [orange]: These look fine.
Quality Recommendation:
Sci scans: (max score = 10; using min of fct's)
fot1 fot2 fot3 fot4 fot5 Scans Grade phot sens see untr airg
014 3 0.3 0.3 1.0 1.0 1.0
015 3 0.3 0.5 1.0 1.0 1.0 015-018 3 0.3 0.8 1.0 1.0 1.0 019-021 3 0.3 0.3 1.0 1.0 1.0
Cal scans: (non-photometric = 0, photometric = 10)
Scans Grade Notes
008-013 10
022-027 10
Untracked Seeing Overrides: NONE
Version 2 Differences:
Delta Plots [red]: All changes are small.
Degraded scores: NONE
Improved scores: NONE
Special Notes:
Rone.

Figure 2: A QA report (one of the shorter ones) from a night of final 2MASS 1x processing.

	Online Ready for Review Being Reviewed Submitted Ready for Cleanup Pending Queries Northern Nights Southern Nights All Nights										
Night	Rev	Prod Status	Reviewer	Review Status	Other Notes						
970607n	QA	archived 020212	nelson 020212	approved	orig rev davy						
970608n	QA	archived 020213	nelson 020212	approved	orig rev davy						
970609n 970610n	<u>QA</u> OA	archived 020213 archived 020213	hurt 020212 hurt 020212	approved	orig rev helson orig rev davy						
970611n	QA	archived 020213	davy 020212	approved	orig rev davy						
970614n 970615n		archived 020213 archived 020213	davy 020212	approved	orig rev davy 010827						
970616n	QA	archived 020130	hurt 020128	approved	orig rev nelson						
970617n	QA	archived 020128	nelson 020128	approved	orig rev davy						
970623n 970624n	OA OA	archived 020129 archived 020129	nelson 020129 nelson 020129	approved 011101	orig rev davy 010918. cais 85 87 89 91 93 95 failed.						
970711n	QA	archived 020129	hurt 020129	approved 010820	orig rev nelson						
970712n	<u>QA</u>	archived 020129	nelson 020129	approved	orig rev hurt						
970713h 970714h	QA	archived 020131	hurt 020129	approved 010820	orig rev nelson						
970715n	QA	archived 020130	nelson 020130	approved 010820	orig rev hurt						
970716n	QA	archived 020130	nelson 020130	approved 010820	orig rev hurt						
970724n	QA	archived 020130	nelson 020130	approved 010820	orig rev nelson 010820						
970731n	QA	archived 020131	nelson 020131	approved	orig rev hurt						
970830n 970904n		archived 020130	hurt 020130	approved 010821	orig rev nelson 010820						
970905n	QA	archived 020130	hurt 020130	approved	orig rev cxu						
970907n	QA	archived 020131	nelson 020131	approved 010828	orig rev hurt 010827						
970908n 970913n		archived 020130	nelson 020129	approved 010829 approved 010907	orig rev hurt 010827 orig rev hurt 010828, cal field 90000 changed to 92409.						
970916n	QA	archived 020131	hurt 020131	approved 010828	orig rev hurt 010828						
970917n	QA	archived 020131	hurt 020130	approved 010907	orig rev hurt 010829						
970918n 970920n	OA OA	archived 020206 archived 010925	hurt 010924	approved 010828 approved 010925	priority calmon .lgo edited changing cal 90000 to 92409						
970923n	QA	archived 010925	davy 010924	approved 010925	······································						
970929n	QA	archived 020201	hurt 020201	approved 010926	orig rev nelson 010924						
971003n	QA	archived 020201	hurt 020131	approved 010925	orig rev hurt 010925						
971004n	QA	archived 010925	nelson 010925	approved 010926	priority						
971005n 971006n		archived 020202 archived 020202	hurt 020201	approved	orig rev cxu						
971009n	QA	archived 010926	nelson 010925	approved 010926	orig rot duty 010925						
971010n	QA	archived 020201	hurt 020201	approved 010927	orig rev cxu 010926						
971011n 971012n	QA QA	archived 010926 archived 020131	davy 010925 davy 020130	approved 010926 approved 010926	orig rev davy 010925						
971015n	QA	archived 010927	cxu 010926	approved 010927							
971016n	QA	archived 010926	nelson 010926	approved 010926	ania non nolaan						
971017h	QA	archived 020201	hurt 020201	approved 010928	orig rev cxu 010927						
971019n	QA	archived 020206	hurt 020204	approved 010928	orig rev hurt 010927						
971020n 971021n		archived 010926 archived 010926	cxu 010926	approved 010927 approved 010927	priority						
971023n	QA	archived 020204	nelson 020204	approved 010928	orig rev hurt 010927						
971024n	QA	archived 020204	hurt 020204	approved 011001	orig rev hurt 010928						
971026n 971027n	OA OA	archived 010927 archived 011003	hurt 010926	approved 010928 approved 011005	priority						
971028n	QA	archived 020205	hurt 020204	approved 011001	orig rev hurt 010928 priority						
971029n	QA	archived 010928	hurt 010928	approved 011001							
971030h	QA	archived 010929	hurt 011001	approved 011001							
971101n	QA	archived 011001	hurt 011001	approved 011002	priority						
971102n	OA	archived 011005	davy 011005	approved 011008							

Figure 3: QA report overview page from 2MASS final processing.

For tracking purposes, there will be an overview page for each QA subsystem. These pages will list all data that were run through the pipeline and link to the QA reports from each. This page will also contain the mechanism by which the QA scientists sign up for QA reporting tasks and by which the QA team will track progress. An example of the 2MASS QA tracking system for the final 2MASS 1x processing is shown in Figure 3.

Listed below are the contents of these reports for the each of the QA subsystems:

- A. Ingest QA Report
 - a. Start and stop times (accuracy TBD) for scans/frames, etc.
- B. Quicklook QA Report
 - a. Preliminary grades for scan synch (image quality), system throughput, image backgrounds, and noise.

C. Scan/Frame QA Report

- a. Final grades for each scan/frame and an accounting of how grades were derived.
- b. In-depth analysis of problems or description of spot checks, as appropriate.
- c. Output will also include a Scan/Frame Summary Table summarizing Scan/Frame QA results. This table will be used to perform trending analyses and to decide which scans to include or exclude from further processing. These tables will contain concise data on:
 - i. Basic scan information such as ID and observation date.
 - ii. Telescope telemetry such as detector temperature.
 - iii. Characterizations of the data such as point source shape parameters and mean source density.
 - iv. Quality assessments and final quality scores.

D. Trending QA Report

- a. Results of trending analyses from Scan/Frame Summary Table (e.g., trends of background noise vs. detector temperature).
- b. Results of trending analyses from Multiframe Summary Table.
- c. Analysis of photometric/astrometric repeatability in scan-to-scan or multiframeto-multiframe overlaps.
- d. Analysis of curve-of-growth results.

E. Multiframe QA Report

- a. Contents:.Grades for final (not intermediate) coadds and an accounting of how grades were derived.
- b. In-depth analysis of problems or description of spot checks, as appropriate.
- c. Output will also include a Multiframe Summary Table summarizing Multiframe QA results. This table will be used to perform trending analyses. These tables will contain concise data on:
 - i. Characterizations of the data such as point source shape parameters and mean source density.
 - ii. Quality assessments and final quality scores.
- F. Archive QA Report

- a. Results of checksums.
- b. Results of range checking of columns (or header info for images).
- G. Final Products QA Report (for inclusion in the Explanatory Supplement)
 - a. QA analyses on Source Catalog.
 - b. QA analyses on Atlas Images.
 - c. Any additional QA analyses needed for the Level 1b images.

6 ANOMALY RESPONSE PLAN

During the QA process, anomalies and problems will be detected that need off-line investigation by EOS, MOS, SOC, WSDC, or the WISE Science Team. The procedure for anomaly alerting, the tracking of further analysis, and the successful resolution of each problem are discussed for each of the QA subsystems below:

A. Ingest QA

- a. Action: WSDC to inform MOS/EOS and SOC of unsuccessful Ingest runs or anomalies.
- b. Tracking: MOS/EOS and/or SOC to acknowledge receipt of anomaly report; results of subsequent analyses to be archived by WSDC.
- c. Resolution: Issues to be closed by MOS/EOS or SOC (or WSDC).
- B. Quicklook QA
 - a. Action: WSDC to inform MOS/EOS and SOC of Quicklook anomalies.
 - b. Tracking: MOS/EOS and/or SOC to acknowledge receipt of anomaly report; results of subsequent analyses to be archived by WSDC.
 - c. Resolution: WSDC will close the issue once parties agree that resolution has been reached
- C. Scan/Frame QA
 - a. Action: WSDC to report anomalies as part of the normal assigning of scan/frame QA grades. This report will be reviewed by the WISE PI (or designee) and SOC.
 - b. Tracking: In the QA report, WSDC will mark reviews having outstanding issues and add subsequent analyses by WSDC or SOC to the QA report for curation.
 - c. Resolution: WSDC will close the issue once the WISE PI (or designee) concurs that resolution has been reached.
- D. Trending QA
 - a. Action: WSDC to report anomalies as part of the normal QA reporting. This report will be reviewed by the WISE PI (or designee) and SOC.

- b. Tracking: In the QA report, WSDC will mark reviews having outstanding issues and add subsequent analyses by WSDC or SOC to the QA report for curation.
- c. Resolution: WSDC will close the issue once the WISE PI (or designee) concurs that resolution has been reached.
- E. Multiframe QA
 - a. Action: WSDC to report anomalies as part of the normal assigning of coadd QA grades. This report will be reviewed by the WISE PI (or designee) and SOC.
 - b. Tracking: In the QA report, WSDC will mark reviews having outstanding issues and add subsequent analyses by WSDC or SOC to the QA report for curation.
 - c. Resolution: WSDC will close the issue once the PI (or designee) concurs that resolution has been reached.
- F. Archive QA
 - a. Action: WSDC to report problems and to assign action items to internal WSDC or IRSA personnel.
 - b. Tracking: Tracking will be handled by each project's (WSDC or IRSA) existing alert systems.
 - c. Resolution: WSDC will close issue after concurrence of WSDC/IRSA personnel.
- G. Final Products QA
 - a. Action: WSDC, WISE Science Team, and astronomical community to report anomalies to WSDC/IRSA. WSDC/IRSA will alert SOC when needed.
 - b. Tracking: Tracking will be handled by each project's (WSDC or IRSA) existing alert systems.
 - c. Resolution: WSDC will close the issue once the PI or designee concurs either that a solution has been found and/or the anomaly has been characterized and documented for the Explanatory Supplement.

These needs drive the following requirements for the WSDC's anomaly tracking system itself. The WSDC alerting system must –

- Live outside the IPAC firewall for security purposes.
- Be capable of sending e-mail alerts to MOS and SOC. (It is assumed that the instigating reports are entered by hand.)
- Be capable of handling/housing attachments, such as text reports and accompanying FITS files.
- Enable assigning of contact person(s) and priority categories.

It is assumed that the IRSA Help Desk will handle anomaly reports from the astronomical community, although some of those alerts may need to be added by

hand to the WSDC alerting system for Final Products processing. All webaccessible reports and summaries will be available only to WISE personnel. This may be accomplished via SSL-protected access through the IPAC DMZ.

7 STAFFING PLAN

Personnel for Quality Assurance operations will have the following roles:

- QA operations will be overseen by the QA Lead Scientist. The QA Lead Scientist will produce a WSDC Quality Assurance Plan (this document) in preparation for the WSDC CDR. The QA Lead Scientist will then direct the continued progress of the QA system from development through final handoff of the data products to IRSA.
- The bulk of the code for the QA system will be written by the QA System Development Engineers. Other pieces of the code, such as specific analysis modules, are expected to be contributed by the QA Scientists or WISE Science Team members.
- QA Scientists, who are experienced in the analysis and interpretation of near-infrared astronomical images and astronomical catalogs, will serve as the arbiters of data quality for day-to-day processing. These QA scientists may include postdoctoral scholars.
- QA operations also require the services of a Document/User Support Scientist for collecting, standardizing, and in some cases writing the final user documentation. The Document Scientist will oversee both an explanatory supplement to the data products as well as in-depth analysis reports. The User Support Scientist will oversee the response to user questions on WISE data products prior to final hand-off to IRSA.

The timescale for this staffing is envisioned as follows:

QA Lead Scientist

- 0.5 FTE until ~6 months before launch.
- Ramps up to 1.0 FTE until ~6 months after final data release.

QA System Development Engineer

- 0.5 FTE starting ~1.5yr before launch.
- Ramps up to 1.0 FTE at ~6 months before launch.

- Ramps back down to 0.5 FTE at \sim 2 months after end of on-orbit operations.
- Ends at final data release.

QA Scientists (numbers may include up to three postdocs)

- 5.0 FTEs phased in between ~6 to ~9 months before launch and continue through final data release.
- Ramps down to zero at ~6 months after final data release.

Document Scientist and User Support

- 0.2 FTE starting ~3 months before launch.
- Ramps up to 0.5 FTE at ~5 months after end of on-orbit ops (i.e., the start of final data processing).
- Ends at ~ 6 months after final data release.

8 DEVELOPMENT SCHEDULE

- Version 1.0 (July 17, 2008)
 - Ingest QA prototyped
 - Quicklook QA prototyped
 - Parts of Scan/Frame QA prototyped
 - Scan synchronization monitor in preliminary state
- Version 2.0 (February 28, 2009)
 - V1.0 pieces matured
 - Parts of Multiframe QA prototyped
- Version 3.0 (August 10, 2009)
 - Ingest QA ready for launch
 - Quicklook QA ready for launch
 - o Scan/Frame QA ready for launch
 - Trending QA prototyped
 - Multiframe QA prototyped
 - Archive QA prototyped
- Version 3.5, post-launch (January 26, 2010)
 - Post-launch tune-ups of Ingest, Quicklook, and Scan/Frame QA
 - Trending QA ready for launch
 - Multiframe QA ready for launch
 - Archive QA ready for launch

- Version 4.0, post-cryo (October 18, 2010)
 - Final versions of above six QA subsystems mature
 - Final Products QA prototyped
- Version 4.5, (TBD)
 - Final Products QA mature

9 ACRONYM LIST

2MASS	Two Micron All-Sky Survey
2MASS PSC	Two Micron All-Sky Survey Point Source Catalog
CDR	Critical Design Review
EOS	Engineering Operations System
FITS	Flexible Image Transport System
FOV	Field of view
FTE	Full-time employee
IOC	In-orbit checkout
IRSA	NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive
JPL	Jet Propulsion Laboratory
log(N)-log(S)	Logarithm of the number of sources (N) as a function of
	the logarithm of the flux density (S)
MOS	Mission Operations System
NEP	North ecliptic pole
PEF	Predicted Events File. The PEF is a MOS product that
	shows the spacecraft activities, particularly scan start,
	scan end, SEP/NEP crossings, orbit numbers, and SAA
	boundary crossings.
PI	Principal investigator
QA	Quality assurance
RMS	Root mean square
RTB	Regression test baseline
SAA	South Atlantic anomaly
SEP	South ecliptic pole

SNR	Signal-to-noise ratio
SOC	Science Operations Center
V&V	Verification and validation
W1	WISE channel 1
W2	WISE channel 2
W3	WISE channel 3
W4	WISE channel 4
WISE	Wide-field Infrared Survey Explorer
WSDC	WISE Science Data Center at the Infrared Processing
	and Analysis Center